



Energy System Modelling Using OSeMOSYS

Hands-on 9

Please use the following citation for:

- **This exercise**

Plazas-Niño, F., Richardson, E. (2025, February). Hands-on 9: Energy System Modelling Using OSeMOSYS (Version 1.0.). Climate Compatible Growth. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.14871427

- **OSeMOSYS UI software**

Climate Compatible Growth. (2024). MUIO (Version v5.0.0). GitHub.

<https://github.com/OSeMOSYS/MUIO/releases>

- **OSeMOSYS forum**

Please sign up to the help forum [here](#). If you are stuck, please ask questions here. If you get ahead, please answer questions in the same forum. Please state that you are using the MUIO Interface.

Learning outcomes

By the end of this exercise, you will be able to represent the following in OSeMOSYS:


1) Emissions

2) Reserve Margin

Emissions representation

As we learnt in Lecture 10, we account for emissions in OSeMOSYS using the parameter:

- **EmissionActivityRatio** [Mt/PJ]: defines the rate of emission by each technology.



In this exercise, we will incorporate technology-dependent carbon dioxide emissions by associating emission flows with power plant technologies. Specifically, we will address emissions from diesel and natural gas power plants. For the biomass power plant, which also produces carbon dioxide emissions through biomass combustion, we will assume carbon compensation, accounting for the carbon dioxide absorbed during the cultivation and growth of biomass resources.




We will start by calculating the emission activity ratio for the two power plants using Equation 1. Since the emissions are relatively small, we will work in kt/PJ. The values from the table below, including the fuel emission factors and efficiencies, are used for these calculations.

$$\text{Emission Activity Ratio} \left[\frac{\text{kt}}{\text{PJ}} \right] = \frac{\text{Fuel Emission Factor} \left[\frac{\text{kt}}{\text{PJ}} \right]}{\text{Technology efficiency}} \quad (1)$$

Technology	Fuel emission factor [kt/PJ]	Efficiency	Emission Activity Ratio [kt/PJ]
Diesel power plant	74.23 (Diesel)	35%	74.23/0.35=212.09
Natural gas power plant	55.54 (Natural gas)	48%	55.54/0.48=115.71


TRY IT: Add **Emissions Activity Ratios** for the following power plant technologies.

- We will NOT add any new technologies or fuels in this exercise. We will add emissions data for existing technologies.**
- On the model configuration page, go to the 'Emissions' tab and change the default emission's info to match the image below:



3. Then, still in the model configuration page, go to the 'Technologies' tab, and add data to the **Emission Activity Ratio** column for the following technologies:
 - a. PWRDSL
 - b. PWRNGS

Note: We will add the CO₂ emission factors to the production technologies instead of the primary sources. However, it is also common to account for these emissions through the primary sources as discussed in Lecture 10.



Technology	Description	Technolo...	Unit of ca...	Unit of ac...	Input Activity R...	Output Activity ...	Input To New C...	Input To Total C...	Emission Activi...	+ Add technol
MINBACK	Virtual mining tech		PJ	PJ		BACK				
BACKSTOP	Virtual power plant		GW	PJ	BACK	ELC003				Delete
MINNGS	Natural gas extr...		PJ	PJ		NGS				Delete
IMPDSL	Diesel import		PJ	PJ		DSL				Delete
PWRDSL	Diesel power plant		GW	PJ	DSL	ELC001			CO2	Delete
PWRNGS	Natural gas pow...		GW	PJ	NGS	ELC001			CO2	Delete
PWRTRN	Transmission te...		GW	PJ	ELC001	ELC002				Delete
PWRDIST	Distribution tech...		GW	PJ	ELC002	ELC003				Delete

4. **Important: update the model.**
5. In **data entry page**, go to 'Emissions Activity Ratio', and then add the data from 2021 to 2035 for each technology listed above in mode of operation 1 only. You will find the data in the [Data Preparation File OSeHO9](#). The values are the same as calculated from Equation 1.
6. Your page should look like the image below.



MUJO 5.0

MUJO ver.5.0 rc

MODELS: Select model

Emission Activity Ratio Region, year, technology, emission, mode of operation

SELECTED MODEL: OSeH09

Emission Activity Ratio

Save data 0.0 0.000

Scenario	Technology	Emission	MoO	Unit	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034
SC_0	PWRDSL	CO2	1	kTon/PJ	212.09	212.09	212.09	212.09	212.09	212.09	212.09	212.09	212.09	212.09	212.09	212.09	212.09	212.09
SC_0	PWRDSL	CO2	2	kTon/PJ	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
SC_0	PWRNGS	CO2	1	kTon/PJ	115.71	115.71	115.71	115.71	115.71	115.71	115.71	115.71	115.71	115.71	115.71	115.71	115.71	115.71
SC_0	PWRNGS	CO2	2	kTon/PJ	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Go to page: 1 Show rows: 20 1-4 of 4


7. SAVE AND UPDATE!

Reserve margin

The first step in representing the reserve margin is to create a User Defined Constraint (UDC).

TRY IT: Add a UDC

1. On the model configuration page, navigate to the 'Constraints' tab and click on '+ Add Constraint.' This constraint will be an inequality, as explained in Lecture 10. Select the relevant technologies for this constraint, which include:
 - On-grid power technologies: BACKSTOP, PWRDSL, PWRNGS, PWRHYD, PWRBIO, PWR SOL, PWRWIND, and BATTPWR.
 - The transmission system: PWRTRN



2. Update the model.

In order to represent a UDC, the following **parameters** must be considered:

- **UDC Constant:** Defines the right-hand side of the equation or inequality.
- **UDC Multiplier Total Capacity:** Defines the set of multipliers for the **Total Capacity variable** on the left side of the equation or inequality.
- **UDC Multiplier New Capacity:** Defines the set of multipliers for the **New Capacity variable** on the left side of the equation or inequality.
- **UDC Multiplier Activity:** Defines the set of multipliers for the **Activity variable** on the left side of the equation or inequality.

IMPORTANT: Typically, we use a single set of multipliers in each constraint.

For the reserve margin, we are evaluating the total capacity of the system to meet this margin. Therefore, we will apply the set of UDC multipliers exclusively to the total capacity. For the multipliers associated with new capacity and activity, we will keep the default values of zero.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Transmission Capacity} * \left(\frac{1}{\text{Transmission efficiency}} \right) * (1 + \text{Reserve Margin}) \\
 & - \sum_t (O_n - \text{grid technology capacity} * \text{Capacity credit}) < 0 \quad (2)
 \end{aligned}$$

As discussed in Lecture 10, the reserve margin is defined by Equation 2. The multiplier for the transmission technology is calculated by multiplying the inverse of the efficiency (equivalent to the input activity ratio) by one plus the reserve margin.



In this case, we use a reserve margin of 15%. The calculation is as follows:

$$1.05 \times (1 + 0.15) = 1.2075.$$

For power generation technologies, the multipliers will correspond to the capacity credits, as indicated in the table below. These multipliers will be added as negative values due to the minus sign in the inequality.


Technology	Capacity credit
BACKSTOP	100%
PWRDSL	90%
PWRNGS	80%
PWRHYD	36%
PWRBIO	85%
PWRSOL	9%
PWRWND	35%
BATTPWR	85%

TRY IT: Add UDC multipliers.


3. Add the data through the data entry tab on the side of the model configuration page for UDC Multiplier Total Capacity parameter. Add the data as given in the [Data Preparation File OSeHO9](#). Keep the UDC constant parameter as zero, which is the default value.
4. **Save and update the model.**

Run and check results

Run the model in the user interface as demonstrated in previous exercises. Next, we will check the graph of a new variable, **Annual Technology Emission**. This plot displays the CO₂ emissions produced by each technology. In our case, the natural gas power plant is




the only fossil fuel unit supplying electricity and, consequently, the sole source of emissions.






The Production graph below shows that adding the reserve margin has altered the electricity mix. Wind penetration has accelerated, replacing the contribution from solar and hydro. This is likely due to a favourable combination of relatively low costs and higher capacity credits than solar, enabling wind to better support the reserve margin.



The **Total Capacity by Technology** graph shows that the addition of a reserve margin increases the surplus capacity, compared to the scenario without the reserve margin. Notably, investment in the power component of battery systems starts in the first year, despite batteries not being used, as shown in the production graph. It is important to note that this system violates one of the initial assumptions regarding the transmission technology, as the residual capacity of transmission is oversized in the early years. We will delve further into how to calibrate these results during Hands-On 13.



Question to consider: If the capacity credits for variable renewable energy sources (solar and wind) were 0%, how would this affect the electricity mix?